Message

iviessage	
From:	Lindstrom, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=04BF7CF26AA44CE29763FBC1C1B2338E-LINDSTROM, ANDREW] 7/10/2017 12:30:39 PM Johnsie Lang [jrlang@ncsu.edu] RE: FW: GenX
Sent: To: Subject:	
Johnsie,	
I think it is be information.	ecause almost all of the information supplied by the companies will be claimed as confidential business
So under the	e current regulatory system nobody can really check to see if what the company claims is true.
What could o	go wrong?
	tbook case of why our system is failing to protect public health. I want to write a paper using GenX as the what's wrong.
Thank you v	ery much,
Andy	
Sent: Tuesda	ie Lang [mailto:jrlang@ncsu.edu] ay, July 04, 2017 7:03 AM m, Andrew <lindstrom.andrew@epa.gov> FW: GenX</lindstrom.andrew@epa.gov>
•	he Consent form not have references? This is weird.
Thanks, Johnsie	ne consent form not have references? This is went.
On Fri, Jun	30, 2017 at 8:43 AM, Lindstrom, Andrew < <u>Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov</u> > wrote:
Johnsie,	
OW guy:	
Maybe Mich	nael Shapiro
https://www	v.epa.gov/aboutepa/about-acting-assistant-administrator-epas-office-water

Michael H. Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator
Phone: (202) 564-5700
Email: shapiro.mike@epa.gov
Mail code: 4101M
Joel Beauvais apparently found another job:
https://www.lw.com/people/joel-beauvais
The derivation of the GenX HA is Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process
Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process
The bottom line is EPA should Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process
Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process
i !
<u> </u>
OW owes the citizens of Wilmington and Parkersburg and probably lots of other places an HA
OW owes the citizens of Wilmington and Parkersburg and probably lots of other places an HA.
OW owes the citizens of Wilmington and Parkersburg and probably lots of other places an HA.  Thank you,
Thank you,
Thank you,
Thank you,
Thank you,  Andy  From: Maddaloni, Mark
Thank you,  Andy  From: Maddaloni, Mark Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 4:25 PM To: Lindstrom, Andrew < Lindstrom. Andrew@epa.gov >
Thank you,  Andy  From: Maddaloni, Mark Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 4:25 PM
Thank you,  Andy  From: Maddaloni, Mark Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 4:25 PM To: Lindstrom, Andrew < Lindstrom. Andrew@epa.gov >

Overall, the NOAEL of 0.1~mg/kg bw/day in the oral chronic study in rats is considered the best available point of departure (POD) for derivation of an exposure limit. This NOAEL is based on an increase in albumin and the albumin/globulin ratio in male rats, an effect that indicates possible immunotoxic effects. This effect was also observed with other PPAR- $\alpha$  inducers and secondary to binding to the PPAR- $\alpha$  receptor (Gervois et al, 2004). As changes in albumin and albumin/globulin ratio also occur in humans after exposure to PPAR- $\alpha$  inducers (Gervois et al, 2004), this effect is considered relevant to humans.

From: Lindstrom, Andrew

Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 9:30 AM

To: Maddaloni, Mark < Maddaloni. Mark @epa.gov>

Subject: RE: GenX

Mark,

I would love to see your justification for the 700 ng/L.

Thank you very much,

Andy

From: Maddaloni, Mark

Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2017 9:27 AM

To: Lindstrom, Andrew < Lindstrom. Andrew@epa.gov >

Cc: Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: GenX

Thanks. The Dutch do nice work. Based on their toxicity evaluation, a drinking water exposure guideline of 700 ppt for GenX would be prudent. I'll provide the rationale when I get a chance – off to the animal lab now.

From: Lindstrom, Andrew

Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 2:18 PM

To: Maddaloni, Mark < Maddaloni. Mark@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: GenX

Mark,
Here's a better copy of this important document.
Thank you,
Andy
From: Maddaloni, Mark Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 1:57 PM To: Strynar, Mark < Strynar. Mark@epa.gov >; Lindstrom, Andrew < Lindstrom. Andrew@epa.gov >; Lau, Christopher@epa.gov >; Buckley, Timothy < Buckley. Timothy@epa.gov > Subject: FW: GenX
fyi
From: Stralka, Daniel Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 11:24 AM To: Maddaloni, Mark < Maddaloni.Mark@epa.gov > Subject: FW: GenX
Do you have the Dutch tox review?
From: Connell, Rebecca Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 3:20 PM To: Stralka, Daniel < Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov > Subject: GenX
Have you come across the GenX news?

Here's Dupont's description with a more "favorable toxicological profile":

<a href="https://www.chemours.com/Industrial">https://www.chemours.com/Industrial</a> Bakery Solutions/en GB/assets/downloads/Chemours GenX Brochure Final\_07July2010.pdf

Assessment by the Dutch Public Health that I came across while looking for the actual chemicals that comprise GenX is attached.

Rebecca Connell

Superfund Division

US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street, SFD-7-1

San Francisco, CA 94105

415.947.4278

415.317.0243 (mobile)